

United States Patent and Trademark Office

CINTTED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/761,654	01/20/2004	Shiu-Ko Jangjian	67,200-1200	3382
7590 04/04/2005		EXAMINER		
TUNG & ASSOCIATES			KORNAKOV, MICHAIL	
Suite 120 838 W. Long Lake Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Bloomfield Hills, MI 48302			1746	·

DATE MAILED: 04/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			16			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	.0 •			
	10/761,654	JANGJIAN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michael Kornakov	1746				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	opears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a resply within the statutory minimum of thirt d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tte, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communic ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	čation.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	January 2004.					
	is action is non-final.	•				
Disposition of Claims						
4)	awn from consideration.					
Application Papers			•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>20 January 2004</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	, ,	•	` '			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bure. * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Ints have been received in Aportity documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	;			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/761,654 Page 2

Art Unit: 1746

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

- 1. Figure 1 should apparently be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art—because, as indicated in (0018) of the instant disclosure, Figure 1 is a schematic of a **typical conventional process chamber** in implementation of the present invention and therefore, only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g).
- 2. The drawings, Fig. 2, are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the reference sign(s) S1, S2, S3, S4, mentioned in the description, paragraphs (0033, 0035, 0037), however they include the reference character(s) 51,52,53,54, not mentioned in the description. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,654

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-4,13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Pang et al (U.S. 2001/0016674).

Pang teaches a method of cleaning a CVD process chamber, which includes introducing a gaseous mixture formed from NF3, N2O and N2 gases into the process chamber and generating a plasma from the said gaseous mixture. The ratio of NF.sub.3 to N.sub.20 is approximately 5:2 [0084].

In specific regard to the limitation of claim 13, which is concerned with "forming nitric oxide radicals and fluoride radicals in the process chamber by generating a plasma from said gas mixture", it is noted here that it is axiomatic that one who performs the steps of the known process must necessarily produce all of its advantages. Mere recitation of a newly discovered function or property, that is inherently possessed by things in the prior art does not cause a claim drawn to these things to distinguish over the prior art, consult In Re Leinoff v. Louis Milona & Sons, Inc. 220 USPQ 845 (CAFC 1984).

Therefore, all the limitations of the instant claims are met by Pang.

Application/Control Number: 10/761,654

Art Unit: 1746

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 7. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 8. Claims 9,10,17,18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pang et al (U.S. 2001/0016674).

The teaching of Pang does not specifically indicate the use of a gaseous mixture

Page 5

Art Unit: 1746

comprising N2O and NF3 with a volume ratio of at least about 0.8. It is noted here that the ratio between ingredients of gaseous mixture is result effective parameter, because it affects the content of oxygen and fluorine containing species, the variation of which in plasma cleaning environment optimizes conditions for the removal of specific residues. However, discovery of optimum value of result effective variable in known process is ordinarily within the skill in the art and would have been obvious, consult *In re* Boesch and Slaney 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

9. Claims 5-8,11,12,19,20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pang et al (U.S. 2001/0016674) in view of Shang et al (U.S. 5,788,778).

While teaching the use of N2 as the carrier gas, Pang does not specifically indicate the use of Ar and/or He. However, being inert to reactive media, N2 or Ar or He are equally used as the carrier gases in order to assist in plasma cleaning of processing chambers or help to stabilize the plasma in the deposition chamber, as indicated by Shang (col.5, lines 3-8). Therefore, one skilled in the art motivated by the disclosure of Shang would have found obvious to utilize Ar or He as equal substitutes in lieu of N2 in the teaching of Pang with the reasonable expectation of success.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael Kornakov whose telephone number is (571) 272-1303. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00am - 5:30pm.

Art Unit: 1746

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Barr can be reached on (571) 272-1414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

M. FORNAKON

Michael Kornakov Primary Examiner Art Unit 1746

03/30/2005